## 2015-2016 Streaked Horned Lark Action Plan

The purpose of this action plan is to identify the next-best conservation actions that can be conducted over the next 3-5 years to support SHLA recovery. [Ranking Key: The top 15 tasks are ranked. The tasks marked with an \* for the signifies increased emphasis, but not at the level of ranking.] Category Ref# Task Rank Implementing Party 1.1 1. Develop WV component of suvey protocol in order to finalize range wide standardized survey and monitoring protocols that address occupancy. 3 Working Group abundance, trends, use and spatial distribution. 1.2 2. Identify threats to population viability. a. Determine factors limiting juvenile and adult survivorship in OR & WA (e.g. predation). Does adult and juvenile survivorship (esp. females) limit population 1.2.a OSU, WDFW, CNLM, JBLM, 4 growth? factors 1.2.b b. Evaluate need to control predators (e.g., at airports) and if implemented, evaluate the effect of predator management (e.g., at Coast, McChord) and its 11 influence on population trend. 1.2.c limiting c. Evaluate existing data and ID information gaps regarding the role of disturbances (e.g., recreation, military activities, industrial uses, researchers, habitat enhancment actions, dredge material deposition, airfield management actions, agricultural activities) that may affect survival in all life stages (i.e. nests WDFW, OSU, CNLM, JBLM juveniles, adults), and prioritize development of BMPs for certain actions. .2.d d. Evaluate effect of pest control agents (e.g., zinc phosphide, maki) to larks (i.e., are they affected?) and if so, are there different application techniques that OSU, FWS, Port of Portland 8 and can eliminate negative effect? 1.2.e e. Identify potential sink habitats and identify a process for potential management actions (e.g., creating recipient habitats, dissuasion at current sites), use ributior qualitative approach as first step. f. Track current climate change science to inform the role of climate change to streaked horned lark conservation decision making, e.g. northward expansion of 1.2.f WDFW, OSU, USFWS, CNLM prairie habitat distr WDFW, Smithsonian 1.2.a g. Examine genetic variability and population structuring. 12h h. Determine factors limiting reproductive success in private working lands of the Willamette Valley. current 1.2.i i. Evaluate effect of different crops and agricultural management techniques to larks 1.3 3. Utilize and collect data from color band resights. 1.3.a a. Collect and integrate existing color banded resight information from Oregon and Washington to inform conservation planning and habitat management. 14 OSU, WDFW, CNLM status 1.3.b b. Inform and mobilize citizen science efforts (e.g. Audubon) to collect lark locations and new color band resights, especially in winter 1.4 . Develop SHLA ID training program/materials and consider a certification process that integrates potential surveyors with (to be) established protocols \* population 1.5 \* 5. Develop criteria to determine if habitat is suitable for all life history stages (i.e. How can I tell if I have habitat?) - done for breeding habitat, not wintering 1.5.a a. Apply criteria to develop a range wide map of potential breeding and wintering habitat. 1.6 6. Survey and monitor for larks rmine 1.6.a WDFW, OSU, CNLM, JBLM, PDX, \* a. Conduct annual monitoring at occupied breeding sites ODFW, FWS 1.6.b b. Survey new and historic sites. Potential examples: Willamette Valley, Rogue River valley, Roger's Washington townships, OR Coast, Cowlitz River, regional Portland Audubon, WDFW, CNLM, 10 Det Port of Portland, ODFW, FAA airports. 7. Identify important features that affect habitat quality and lark productivity. 1.7 1.7.a a. Determine the effect of habitat parameters and seasonality on nest success on working lands in OR (e.g., grass seed, clover, mint, xmas tree, row crops, \* pasture) 1.7b b. Understand habitat quality in relation to food availability, including wintering habitat quality. 1.8 CNLM, WDFW, OSU, FWS 8. Address the need for coordinated and consolidated database for lark data Working Group, Land Trusts, NRCS, WWMP, FWS, JBLM, Thurston Co. 2.1 and 1. Seek opportunities to secure sites dedicated to lark conservation (e.g. lark preserves). 1 2.2 2 Working Group 2. Secure protection commitment on priority occupied sites (e.g. management plans, Safe Harbor). Populations 2.3 3. Define and identify core sites for recovery. \* 2.4 4. Work with the regulatory community to identify mitigation opportunities including conservation banks. 2.4.a a. Develop mitigation banking strategy and criteria 15 FWS Habitats 2.5 5. Encourage partners to include management for larks in land protection plans when opportunities are available (e.g. America's Great Outdoors Initiative Existing Willamette Wildlife Mitigation Program, SWAPs, legislative initiatives) 2.6 FWS, ODFW, WDFW, CNLM 6. Work with NRCS and others to ensure larks are a priority for funding programs (e.g., easements) and landowner assistance (e.g. Partner Biologists). 2.7 7. Identify mechanisms to establish long-term management funding (e.g. endowments) for important site Protect 2.8 8. Address identified threats range-wide: Initiate protection measures, reduce predator impacts, redirect recreation, airport disturbance Working Group 2.8.a a. Redirect, adapt, or modify timing of incompatible aspects of land uses, e.g. airshows, police training, dog trials, model airplane use, ATVs, dredged material OSU, WDFW, FWS, CNLM, JBLM, \* сi placement, airport management practices, coastal recreation activities. ACOF POP WA Parks DSI 3.1 1. Enhance existing habitat and increase amount of available habitat in the Willamette Valley OSU, WDFW, FWS Refug 3.1.a habit NRCS, Private, Refuges, USFWS 9 a. Implement habitat restoration activities on breeding and wintering grounds 3.1.b b. Refine and Implement management prescriptions to create breeding habitat and develop winter habitat prescription in agricultural matrix and understand 6 FWS Refuges effect on lark vital rates and c. Investigate the value and feasibility of conservation burning for larks and its potential as an incentive for private landowner OSU, USFWS, NWR, NRCS, TNC 3.1.c populations 3.2 2. Conduct genetic rescue aiming at stabilizing South Sound population. Evaluate success WDFW, ODFW, OSU, CNLM 3. Evaluate appropriateness and feasibility of population augmentation, relocation or reintroduction (e.g., investigate lark colonization, captive rearing, 12 WDFW, OSU, Oregon Zoo, CNLM nacking, cross fostering). 3.4 4. Conduct habitat restoration in South Puget Sound to increase and improve lark habitat. 3.4.a a. Implement habitat restoration activities on breeding ground using all available tools (e.g., herbicide, fire). Focus on invasives that change the structure of the JBLM, CNLM, FWS, WDFW extant habitat - ongoing 3.5 5. Conduct habitat restoration on the Columbia River and Coast to increase and improve lark habitat 3.5.a a. Implement habitat restoration activities on breeding and wintering grounds (e.g. Damon Point, Midway Beach), remove beach grass (use Leadbetter HRA as ę 13 FWS. WDFW. WSP. CNLM. WDNR demo). Ongoing. viability 3.5.b b. Implement and monitor effectiveness of created lark habitat by dredge material deposition and implementing complementary strategy to control structure-ACOE, CNLM, Port of Portland, FWS modifying vegetation 3.5.b. and refine habitat suitablity model for dredged material sit ACOE, CNLM 350 City of Portland, Port of Portland, Metro 90 c. Implement habitat restoration activities on unoccupied sites within the breeding and wintering range (e.g. St. John's, Sauvie, Gov't island). OSU, USFWS, NRCS Enhar 3.6 6. Evaluate the effect of habitat enhancement actions on lark vital rates. 3.7 CNLM, Ports, FAA, WDFW, ODFW, 7. Develop strategy for compatible airport and lark use, develop management guidelines specific for each airport (e.g., Manage habitat to attract birds ÷ OSU, JBLM outside areas that the airport identifies as high risk for airport safety). 4.1 1. Facilitate lark-beneficial habitat management on WV private lands through incentive programs or other means. 7 4.1.a. a. Support partner biologist to work on agricultural related lark issues (e.g. actions 1.2.d, 2.1, 2.2, 2.6, 4.1.b, 4.1.c, 4.2.b, 4.3.a) 5 and 4.1.b b. Disseminate lark information to NRCS and SWCDs and brainstorm on how to implement programs (first) ongoing 4.1.c c. Encourage federal & state agencies to promote incentive programs WDFW, FWS Education 4.2 2. Facilitate coordination and information sharing. 4.2.a a. Maintain range-wide working group and coordination. 14 Working Group each 4.2.b b. Open and maintain working groups/informational sharing forums about larks revolving around industry-specific issues (e.g. airports, water ports, agriculture \* CNLM, FWS, Ports, WDFW developers/land use planning). Coordination, Outr 4.3 . Develop outreach and educational materials. 4.3.a a. Package existing habitat prescriptions specifically for agricultural producers (i.e., abridge Tech Note for lay audience) and distribute to agricultural community 4.3.b b. Conduct outreach to permitting entities (e.g. counties/cities, ODSL) regarding potential for lark impacts from development and other permitted activities. FWS. DSL 4.3.c ONLM, JBLM c. Develop materials on habitat management and restoration for land managers including habitat targets. 4 4.3.d d. Reach out to additional partners by promoting regional recovery and habitat management (e.g. DNR aquatic lands, WA/OR State Parks, land trusts, mitigation banks, OR Dept, of State Lands, Pacific Birds Habitat Joint Venture).